

the growers don't like it, they can send their produce by truck, at twice the rate. If growers want icing, they can supply it for themselves. Nothing less than a full-car load will be picked up. All in all, farmers on the Florida tip say that the F.E.C. service is so bad that some of them face ruin.

The Florida East Coast Railroad was built by Henry Flagler, a founder of Standard Oil, to serve some of his hotels on the East Coast of Florida. As he said, the line "just kept following the crowds" until it went all the way to Miami and beyond—572 miles. It is an important railroad. The counties it traverses represent 20 per cent of the state's acreage and hold 50 per cent of its population.

During the land and tourist boom of the 1920s, Flagler borrowed \$45 million by the sale of bonds to build a double track. Then the boom deflated and the extra debt sent the F.E.C. into receivership in 1931. That was when Ball started picking up the pieces that eventually fitted together as ownership, buying up the bonds for as little as \$20 per \$100 valuation. By 1941, the F.E.C. had climbed back to the level of "bankruptcy"—a strangely defined position for a railroad that during the war was one of the most profitable in the country.

The next year Ed Ball made his move for control. The Atlantic Coast Line Railroad also wanted the F.E.C. Seventeen years later, after bitter court fights that cost both sides an estimated \$5 million in legal fees, Ball came out the winner. As Harris H. Mullen, a Florida

magazine publisher, put it. "Once duPont gets mixed up in something they stay mixed up in it until they get what they are after—and if they don't get what they are after, they are willing to just keep on staying mixed up." It is a perfect description of the bear-trap diplomacy Ball has used so far in the F.E.C. labor dispute and which the workers can expect in the future.

That also is the reason why *someone*—the unions deny any and all of it, of course—has decided that the only way to talk to Ball is the way the Wobblies talked to their implacable employers: with fire, gunshot and dynamite. Two hundred acts of suspected sabotage have been counted since the strike started. Most of the vandalism has been limited to such acts as chopping up air hoses and cutting signal lines. But as early as last April cars were being derailed.

In the past month, derailings have become almost routine: thirty cars one week, fourteen a week later, thirty-nine cars and engines blown up on February 27, while President Johnson was visiting the state.

But Ball doesn't understand that language either. Angrier than ever, more stubborn than ever, he pushes his business down a newly completed spur line to the site of the Cape Kennedy "moonport." Temporarily his cars are blocked by rifle-carrying government guards. No unloading; the threat of a labor shutdown is too clear. But Ball persists, cries "Iron Fist!" and the space agency goes over the head of the government labor advisers and opens its lines to the Ed Ball Ex-

press. Up go the picket lines, work stops on the \$213 million construction, out come the injunctions, and the ugly tug of war continues. Ball said he expects to earn \$57 million doing business with the government in the Cape area during the next decade, strike or no strike.

There is no end in sight, unless perhaps the violence reaches such dimensions that the government takes over the line—a slim chance in an election year. Meanwhile, growers in south Florida spend thousands of extra dollars to truck their crops at peak season, because the F.E.C. gives only the curtailed service it finds most profitable. Meanwhile, NASA's schedule for boosting an astronaut to the moon is delayed. Meanwhile, 2,000 workers begin to drift away, broke, fed up with the fight to get arbitration.

Senator Wayne Morse said recently that the duPont estate, which is one of the larger bank-holding companies in the United States, should be deprived of the exemption to the Bank Holding Company Act, by which it is permitted to engage not only in banking but in nonbanking activities. Getting it out of nonbanking would mean getting it out of railroading, and that is what Morse wants.

On February 26, Morse offered an amendment to the Bank Holding Company Act designed to that end. He can now be sure of facing the vigorous opposition of both of Florida's Senators who, though not the wisest of legislators, are smart enough to remember that Claude Pepper lost his Senate seat after he had aroused the anger of Edward Ball.

Hammer of Civil Rights . . . Martin Luther King, Jr.

For the fourth year running, the Rev. Martin Luther King, Jr., presents to the readers of The Nation his annual report on the progress of civil rights (see "Equality Now," Feb. 4, 1961; "Fumbling on the New Frontier," March 3, 1962; "Bold Design for a New South," March 30, 1963).—Editors.

Exactly one hundred years after Abraham Lincoln wrote the Emancipation Proclamation for them, Negroes wrote their own document of

freedom in their own way. In 1963, the civil rights movement coalesced around a technique for social change, nonviolent direct action. It elevated jobs and other economic issues to the summit, where earlier it had placed discrimination and suffrage. It thereby forged episodic social protest into the hammer of social revolution.

Within a few months, more than 1,000 American cities and towns were shaken by street demonstrations, and more than 20,000 non-

violent resisters went to jail. Nothing in the Negro's history, save the era of Reconstruction, equals in intensity, breadth and power this matchless upheaval. For weeks it held spellbound, not only this country, but the entire world. What had moved the nation's foundations was a genuinely new force in American life. Negro power had matured and was dynamically asserting itself.

The impact of this new strength, expressed on a new level, means among other things that the civil

rights issue can never again be thrust into the background. There will not be "One hundred years of litigation," that cynical threat of the segregationists. Nor will there be easy compromises which divert and stagnate the movement. The problem will now be faced and solved or it will without pause torment and agonize the political and social life of the nation.

In the past two decades, the contemporary world entered a new era characterized by multifaceted struggles for human rights. Continents erupted under the pressures of a billion people pressing in from the past to enter modern society. In nations of both the East and the West, long-established political and social structures were fissured and changed. The issues of human rights and individual freedom challenged forms of government as dissimilar as those of the Soviet Union, colonial Africa, Asia, Latin America and the United States.

The Negro freedom movement reflects this world upheaval within the United States. It is a component of a world era of change, and that is the source of its strength and durability. Against this background the civil rights issue confronts the 88th Congress and the Presidential campaign of 1964.

Earlier civil rights legislation was cautiously and narrowly drawn, designed primarily to anticipate and avoid Negro protest. It had a double and contradictory objective: to limit change, and yet to muffle protest. The earlier legislation was conceived and debated under essentially calm conditions. The bill now pending in Congress is the child of a storm, the product of the most turbulent motion the nation has ever known in peacetime.

Congress has already recognized that this legislation is imbued with an urgency from which there is no easy escape. The new level of strength in the civil rights movement is expressed in plans it has already formulated to intervene in the Congressional deliberations at the critical and necessary points. It is more significantly expressed in plans to guarantee the bill's implementation when it is enacted. And reserve plans exist to exact political consequences if the bill is defeated or emasculated.

As had been foreseen, the bill survived intact in the House. It has now moved to the Senate, where a

legislative confrontation reminiscent of Birmingham impends. Bull Connor became a weight too heavy for the conscience of Birmingham to bear. There are men in the Senate who now plan to perpetuate the injustices Bull Connor so ignobly defended. His weapons were the high-pressure hose, the club and the snarling dog; theirs is the filibuster. If America is as revolted by them as it was by Bull Connor, we shall emerge with a victory.


The keys to victory in Birmingham were the refusal to be intimidated, the indomitable spirit of Negroes to endure; their willingness to fill the jails; their ability to love their children—and take them by the hand into battle; to leave on that battlefield six murdered Negro children, to suffer the grief, and resist demoralization and provocation to violence.

Argument will inevitably be made that in the Senate cloture is the only weapon available to subdue the filibuster. And cloture requires that a two-thirds majority be mustered before a simple majority can legislate. In thirty-five years, the only time that cloture has been successfully invoked was against a fragile liberal group opposed by the Administration regulars and almost the entire Republican delegation. That is hardly a convincing precedent for the success of cloture in the present fight.

On the other hand, if proponents of the civil rights measure will adopt some of the burning spirit of this new period, they can match their tenacity with that of the filibusterers: The Dixiecrats can be worn down by an endurance that surpasses theirs. What one group of men dedicated to a dying cause can do, another group, if they are as deeply committed to justice, should be able to do. When the Southern obstructionists find themselves at the end of their physical and moral resources, cloture may be employed gently to end their misery.

It is not too much to ask, 101 years after Emancipation, that Senators who must meet the challenge of the filibuster do so in the spirit of the heroes of Birmingham. They must avoid the temptation to compromise the bill as a means of ending the filibuster. They can use the Birmingham method by keeping the Senate in continuous session, by matching the ability of the segregationists to talk with their capacity to outlast them. Nonviolent action to resist can be practiced in the Senate as well as in the streets.

There could be no more fitting tribute to the children of Birmingham than to have the Senate for the first time in history bury a civil rights filibuster. The dead children cannot be restored, but living children can be given a life. The assass-



sins who still walk the streets will still be unpunished, but at least they will be defeated.

The important point is that if the filibuster is not beaten by a will to wear it out, the Dixiecrats will be justified in believing that they face, not an implacable adversary, but merely a nagging opponent. Negroes are not going to be satisfied with half a loaf of the legislation now pending. The civil rights forces in the Senate will have to find the strength to win a full victory. Anything less will be regarded as a defeat in the context of today's political realities.

While attention is now properly focused on legislation, it is still useful to widen our perspective by stepping back a little. For the past several years Negro rights have been imperiled and impeded by a confusion of tactics. In the earlier years of the administration of President Kennedy, Executive power was advocated as a more effective weapon than legislative action. It was argued then that laws existed, but were not adequately exercised; that a broad application of Executive power could effect many significant changes. The Civil Rights Commission, easily the most under-rated agency of government, began its life in political isolation because neither side respected it. In one of its early reports it declared that the federal government was the single heaviest financial supporter of segregation. Much of this evil, the commission indicated, could be cured by Executive action.

President Kennedy did begin a new use of his Presidential power by exposing the little-observed practices of many government bureaus. Changes of some significance began to alter the profile of certain agencies. His committee on Fair Employment, headed by then Vice President Johnson, opened jobs and upgraded opportunities for Negroes in many plants in the South. Though the tempo was slow and the goal distant, the direction was right.

President Kennedy also, after considerable delay, issued an order prohibiting segregation in government-financed housing. It was conspicuously flawed with compromise and to this date has not significantly altered any housing patterns. Nevertheless, it was another example of the application of Presidential power, and if timidity of

conception or execution limited the effect, still a new path was chopped through the thicket. Alert and aggressive civil rights forces have an opportunity to pave a highway over it. These examples of Executive action illustrate that gain is possible even in the absence of legislation.

However, before a serious drive was mounted in this direction, the unfolding of massive and revolutionary direct action restored legislation to the head of the list, and virtually all public attention has since focused on Congress.

Perhaps unintentionally, one method became posed against the other; the investigation and use of Executive power receded as the legislative battle crowded into the limelight. But there is no reason why the civil rights movement should abandon one weapon as it flourishes another. It would amount to negligence to allow the creative use of Executive power to wither because gains are possible on the legislative stage. More than that, when the legislation becomes law, its vitality and power will depend as much on its implementation as on the strength of its declarations.

Legislative enactments, like court decisions, declare rights, but do not automatically deliver them. Ultimately, Executive action determines what force and effect legislation will have. The elusive benefit of legislation is illustrated by the fact that for some years federal law has authorized the appointment of federal registrars where voting rights are denied. Yet to date not one registrar has enrolled one voter from among the millions of eligible Negroes who remain disenfranchised. An even more striking example of Executive vacuum, as Dr. Howard Zinn has pointed out, is that civil rights legislation passed in 1866 is still not enforced in the South. The United States Criminal Code Title 10, sections 241 and 242, make it a crime for officials to deprive persons of their constitutional rights, and for any persons to conspire to that end. The numberless violations of that law stand in sharp contrast to the infrequency with which the Justice Department has attempted to invoke it.

The simple fact is that federal law is so extensively defied in the South that it is no exaggeration to say that the federal union is barely a reality. For the Southern Negro it

is more a tragic myth. He has been exploited, jailed and even murdered, by deeds which federal writ can reach, but his oppression continues essentially unrelieved.

The most tragic and widespread violations occur in the areas of police brutality and the enforcement against the Negro of obviously illegal state statutes. For many white Americans in the North there is little comprehension of the grossness of police behavior and its wide practice. The Civil Rights Commission, after a detailed, scholarly and objective study, declared it to be one of the worst manifestations of the Negro's oppression. The public becomes aware of it only during episodes of nonviolent demonstration, and often concludes that what they have witnessed is an atypical incident of excessive conduct. That the behavior is habitual, not exceptional, is a fact little understood.

Police brutality, with community support, or at best indifference, is a daily experience for Negroes in all too many areas of the South. They live in a police state which, paradoxically, maintains itself within a democratic republic. Under these conditions, an occasional law suit by the federal government, which may drag for years through the courts, is no remedy. Indeed, it is sometimes worse than nothing. It demonstrates the futility and weakness of federal power.

People often wonder why Southern demonstrations tend to sputter out after a vigorous beginning and heroic sacrifice. The answer, simply and inescapably, is that naked force has defeated the Negro. A ruling state apparatus, accustomed for generations to act with impunity against him, is able to employ every element of unchecked power. A slow-moving federal suit, or sporadic and frequently ineffectual federal mediation, is scarcely more adequate to support the Negro in such a one-sided engagement than would be a pat on the back.

Negroes have found non-violent direct action to be a miraculous method of curbing force, but it is not a cure-all. When the glare of a thousand spotlights illuminates the misdeeds of Southern police, their guns and clubs are temporarily muzzled. Yet so shameless are the mores of the feudal South that even in the presence of millions of witnesses police still employ such


barbaric weapons as the cattle prod and the high-pressure hose. Moreover, when a deed can be cloaked in night, the depravity of conduct is bottomless. The blasting to death of four Sunday school children is such an example. Assassinations, mutilations, floggings and bombings are others.

When the armored car of the Birmingham police rumbled into the spotlight, it was regarded as a grotesque but rare example of local police power run wild. In the past weeks the Mayor of Jackson, Miss., has boasted of the armor he has accumulated for next summer. It includes "Thompson's Tank," a 13,000-pound armored battle wagon, carrying a task force of twelve men armed with shotguns, tear gas and submachine guns. The mobile equipment also includes three troop lorries, two searchlight tanks and three giant trailer trucks, nearly 500 men, plus a reserve pool of deputies, state troopers, civilian city employees and neighborhood citizen patrols. This local army awaits nonviolent demonstrators with undisguised hostility and the familiar trigger-happy eagerness for confrontation.

The inevitable conclusion is that as Negroes have marshaled extraordinary courage to employ non-violent direct action, they have been left — by the most powerful federal government in the world — almost solely to their own resources to face a massively equipped army. They have endured violence to reveal their plight and to protest it; their government has been able to muster only the minimum courage and determination to aid them.

This contradiction cries out for resolution. Legislation, commissions, bi-racial committees, cannot change a community when those in the seats of power locally are aware that they can organize and employ force while the federal power temporizes. There are governments in some areas of the world today that have no effective control in some regions of their country. The United States a century ago did not have control of areas dominated by unsubdued Indian tribes. But we are nearing the year 2000 and our national power almost defies description. Yet it cannot enforce elementary law even in a dusty rural Southern village.

It is not my intention, in presenting these stark facts, to make a



Harry Moss

blanket condemnation of this Administration or its predecessor. The Kennedy administration initiated the pending bill and, at this writing, the Johnson Administration has fought off weakening amendments. It would be not only unrealistic, but unfair, to ignore the complexities that face and frequently confound national leaders who have inherited a hundred years of evasions, compromises and malevolence. Nevertheless, to understand difficulties should not be a preparation for surrendering to them.

Along with the fight to add legislation to the law books, the intolerable conditions of the South require that the Administration look in a new way to its powers and their use. The President and the heads of every relevant agency should allocate the time to study the question; they should literally throw away the key to the room until answers are uncovered.

An example of the creative innovations that might be forthcoming is the assignment in recent years of federal marshals in situations unsuitable for troops. Earlier, no one had thought of using the marshals as a civil rights measure. Yet the federal marshal is well known even to school children; he attained legendary fame in the taming of

the West and is exalted today in books, movies and television.

It is a challenge to wonder what the organization of a marshal's corps might do to bring law into the South. Up to now, however creative the idea to use them may have been, they have too often been hastily withdrawn and their recruitment revealed as an emergency measure with no thought that they might remain on the scene for as long as resistance to law required.

Above all, the federal government must not overlook the fact that the heroics of some Southern officials are more impressive for stagecraft than for determination. The battle-clad brigades are designed to terrorize Negroes and to generate alarming illusions of warfare in the timid onlookers, North and South. They will not freeze the blood of Negroes who have cast out their fears. They should not intimidate a federal power that has not hesitated to commit its forces on fronts around the world.

Still another example of creative search through the legal corridors of the federal law was the discovery that the Interstate Commerce Commission had power to require desegregation of interstate buses and terminals. This right of law in sup-

port of the Freedom Ride movement made possible a relative degree of progress, at the same time, a project that had been born in violence ended in peaceful victory.

Finally, many new elements of the South are as appalled by these histrionics as are citizens of the North. They would welcome the curbing of the up-dated, iron-booted Klansmen. There is genuinely a new South, but it cannot surface without the shelter of federal power and order. The dignity of the federal government would be radiantly enhanced if it arrayed a trained, self-confident force of federal marshals against these armed usurpers who have stomach for battle only with the unarmed and nonviolent.

The country abounds in specialists and experts in law enforcement. If the Administration would summon to the White House a conference of experts to deliberate with the highest officials of government, it could not fail to produce practical and effective answers. The Administration participants should include not only the President but

also the National Security Council.

Some of the other participants in a White House session might be the heads of the Treasury law-enforcement agencies. There is a need to know what is going on in conspiratorial racist circles. Many of the shocking bombings might have been avoided if such knowledge had been available. Something must certainly be done to capture those who have with utter impunity caused dozens of bombings across the South. The law-enforcement agencies of Treasury are suggested because the Bureau of Narcotics is extensively experienced in working within secret groups and obtaining effective results. And the alcohol-tax unit of Internal Revenue is probably more familiar with the rural South than is any other agency, because for years it has been tracking down "moonshiners."

These examples are cited, not as outstanding or special, but to suggest that the scope of inquiry should be so wide that no possible key will be overlooked in the search

for solutions. If determination is expressed at the highest level, and if it is realized that the prevalent lawlessness of the South must come to an end, the victory cannot fail. The massive power of the federal government, applied with imagination, can make this problem yield.

The necessity for a new approach to the Executive power is not a matter of choice. The new-found strength of the civil rights movement will not vanish or wither. Negroes have learned the strength of their own power and will unleash it again and again. The surge of their revolution must inevitably engulf the nation once more, and if effective methods have not been devised, chaos can result from future confrontations dealt with indecisively. Now is the time to anticipate needs, not when the flames of conflict are raging. This is the lesson the past teaches us. This is the test to which concerned national leaders are put — not by civil rights leaders as such, but by conditions too brutal to be endured, and by justice too long delayed to be justified.

Kuwait

Wall Street of the Middle East . . . Ralph Hewins

The two-year-old New Jersey-sized state of Kuwait, poised between unstable Iraq and medieval Saudi Arabia, aspires to be a hub of finance for the splintered Arab world that stretches from the Persian Gulf to Gibraltar, from Turkey to the Sudan. Or, as numerous berobed millionaires in oil-rich Kuwait say, "the Wall Street of the Middle East."

The idea of traffic in money is a break with Muslim theology, tradition, psychology and practice. For the Koran ordains: "Covet not the gifts by which God raised some of you above others. The men shall have a portion according to their deserts, and the women a portion according to their deserts." Specifically, Muslim Holy Writ adds: "Devour not each other's substance

in mutual frivolities," i.e., gambling, usury or speculation. The stock exchange has long seemed the equivalent of a casino to the Arab mind.

Wealth has always been accepted in the Middle East as the arbitrary beneficence of Allah—as booty in tribal warfare up to 1930, and as haphazard petroleum bonanzas since then. It was "manna from Heaven" and was meant to be spent (after prescribed alms giving). The desert Arab scorned bank notes or bonds as idolatrous swindles. He hoarded his riches in the form of gold or specie, jewelry or silks, horses, camels or slaves. For example, the British lost the fabulous Saudi Arabian oil concession in 1931 because they would not produce £20,000 in sovereigns (the coveted "horsemen of St. George"). Sheik Shakbut of dwarf Abdu Dhabi, the new Arabian oil billionaire, stores royalties as bullion in a cellar of his tumble-down palace and keeps the keys on his belt.

The identification of investment with usury, the notion that human interference with divine distribution of riches is a sin, has handicapped the Muslim world for centuries. The Ottoman Empire left financial management to Greeks, Armenians and Jews; in Pakistan, Hindus became the tycoons, and in pre-Nasser Egypt, Levantines were the business elite. The oil sheiks' extravagance, the corruption of the effendi (professional) class and the baksheesh cries of the poor remain symptoms of Arab society's aversion to money as a fructifying force. Such attitudes, surviving in puritanical Saudi Arabian circles, help to explain why princely spendthrifts and the scarcity of modern institutions are accepted fatalistically; why foreigners are distrusted, disliked and restricted. It is why oil sheiks there and elsewhere in Arabia still "invest" in such unproductive luxuries as palaces at home or numbered bank accounts abroad. A quick

Ralph Hewins is a journalist and author; among his books are Count Folke Bernadotte (T. S. Denison & Co.), The Richest American (J. Paul Getty (E. P. Dutton) and A Golden Dream: The Miracle of Kuwait (W. H. Allen).

Copyright of Nation is the property of Nation Company, L. P. and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.